

Regulatory & Policy Approaches to the Diversity of Gene Drives

Owain Edwards | 19 May 2022

Australia's National Science Agency





Regulatory Precedents





ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 22 March 2016 doi: 10.3389/1pubh.2016.00043



Risk Associated with the Release of Wolbachia-Infected Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes into the Environment in an Effort to Control Dengue

Justine V. Murray1*, Cassie C. Jansen12 and Paul De Barro1

¹CSIRO, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, ²Metro North Public Health Unit, Queensland Health, Brisbane, QLD, Australia



- 1. Use existing generic risk assessment frameworks
- 2. Take advice from all available relevant guidelines
- Engage with all stakeholder groups (Experts -> General Public) to identify gaps



Available Guidelines



A phased testing pathway is recommended, in which new GMM strategies move from the laboratory, to testing in more natural environments under confined conditions, and finally to open release trials, with each transition dependent upon satisfactory demonstration of efficacy and safety.

Fig. 1.3. Phased testing pathway for GMMs





Fig. 1.4 Modified testing pathway for GMMs with low-threshold drive systems²











- 3. Split drive approaches
- 4. Genetic localization technologies







Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



International Plant Protection Convention Protecting the world's plant resources from pests

ISPM 11



Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests



STAGES of PEST RISK ANALYSES

- 1. Probability of Introduction (species or genotype/phenotype)
- 2. Probability of Establishment (and Spread)
- 3. Potential Economic/Environmental Consequences
- 4. Pest Risk Management







Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations



International Plant Protection Convention Protecting the world's plant resources from pests

ISPM 11



ANNEX 2: Pest risk analysis for living modified organisms (LMOs)



Pest Risk Analyses of LMOs

- Useful to consider risks relative to unmodified organism
- <u>Direct effects</u>: altered pest characteristics
- <u>Indirect effects</u>: quality/prevalence of other species due to effects of modification



Stakeholder Consultation



ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 22 March 2016 doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2016.00043



Connolly et al. Malar J (2021) 20:170 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03674-6

Malaria Journal

RESEARCH

Open Access



John B. Connolly^{1*}[®], John D. Mumford²[®], Silke Fuchs¹[®], Geoff Turner¹, Camilla Beech³, Ace R. North⁴[®] and Austin Burt¹[®]

Risk Associated with the Release of Wolbachia-Infected Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes into the Environment in an Effort to Control Dengue

Justine V. Murray1*, Cassie C. Jansen12 and Paul De Barro1

¹CSIRO, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, ²Metro North Public Health Unit, Queensland Health, Brisbane, QLD, Australia



ADDITIONAL STEPS FOR GENE DRIVE ORGANISMS?

 Probability of spread after establishment into other regulatory jurisdictions



Convention on Biological Diversity Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety



Fig. 1.4 Modified testing pathway for GMMs with low-threshold drive systems²





MODELLING MOVEMENT of INVASIVE SPECIES

- 1. Natural (wind-borne) movement
- 2. Human-assisted movement

TAPPAS (Tool for Assessing Pest & Pathogen Aerial Spread)





ASIAN-PACIFIC SHIPPING LANES



Schmidt et al. (2020)

GENOMIC ASSESSMENTS OF FRUIT FLY INCURSIONS



GENOMIC ASSESSMENTS OF FRUIT FLY INCURSIONS





- 1. To cover the diversity of gene drives, use an established, generic, case by case regulatory assessment approach
- 2. Take advice from published guidelines and by engaging all relevant stakeholders
- 3. Field releases should be staged not just by scale (small -> large) but also by technology (non-drive, drive with no cargo, split drive, etc.)
- 4. Likelihood of spread between jurisdictions after establishment should be examined in depth for gene drive organisms